TERM OF COMMISSION: September Session of the August Adjourned Term

PLACE OF MEETING: Roger B. Wilson Boone County Government Center

Commission Chambers

PRESENT WERE: Presiding Commissioner Keith Schnarre

District I Commissioner Karen M. Miller District II Commissioner Skip Elkin Deputy County Clerk Shawna Victor

The meeting was called to order at 1:30 p.m.

Subject: Tax Rate Hearing

Wendy S. Noren, Boone County Clerk, was present on behalf of this item.

Wendy Noren stated there are three levies that the County Commission is responsible for; General Revenue, Common Road and Bridge; and Group Homes. The County-wide Surtax on Subclass III Property is for commercial property was set in 1985.

The others are the tax rates that have been certified to her by both the jurisdiction and the State Auditor's Office.

Ms. Noren stated this is a public hearing, as required by State Statutes. She noted there are no changes from the 2002 rates for County taxes.

Ms. Noren discussed rollbacks and ceilings, how those affect the tax rates and what the Commission can increase and decrease.

Commissioner Schnarre opened the floor for a public hearing.

There was no one wishing to speak.

Commissioner Schnarre closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Miller moved to set the 2003 tax rates per hundred dollars of assessed valuation for county purposes as follows:

| County of Boone | Total | \$.2994 |
|---|----------|---------|
| General Revenue | \$.1300 | |
| Common Road and Bridge | \$.0500 | |
| Group Homes | \$.1194 | |
| County-wide Surtax on Subclass III Property | | \$.6100 |

Now be it further ordered that the County Commission, having received reports from the various political subdivisions, so sets their tax rates per hundred dollars of assessed valuation as instructed for the year 2003:

| State of Missouri | | \$.0300 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Columbia Public Schools | Total | \$4.9444 |
| Incidental Fund | \$1.5744 | |
| Teachers Fund | \$2.5581 | |
| Debt Service | \$.8019 | |
| Capital Projects | \$.0100 | |
| Southern Boone County R-I Schools | Total | \$4.6068 |
| Incidental Fund | \$3.2768 | |
| Teachers Fund | \$.0000 | |
| Debt Service | \$1.2800 | |
| Capital Projects | \$.0500 | |
| Hallsville R-IV Schools | Total | \$4.1200 |
| Incidental Fund | \$3.2116 | |
| Teachers Fund | \$.0000 | |
| Debt Service | \$.7484 | |
| Capital Projects | \$.1600 | |
| Sturgeon R-V Schools | Total | \$4.0797 |
| Incidental Fund | \$2.8097 | |
| Teachers Fund | \$.0000 | |
| Debt Service | \$1.2700 | |
| Capital Projects | \$.0000 | |
| Centralia R-VI Schools | Total | \$3.5611 |
| Incidental Fund | \$3.0911 | |
| Teachers Fund | \$.0000 | |
| Debt Service | \$.4700 | |
| Capital Projects | \$.0000 | |
| Harrisburg R-VIII Schools | Total | \$4.4233 |
| Incidental Fund | \$ 3.2733 | |
| Teachers Fund | \$.0000 | |
| Debt Service | \$ 1.1500 | |
| Capital Projects | \$.0000 | |
| New Franklin R-I Schools | Total | \$3.8700 |
| Incidental Fund | \$3.3300 | |
| Teachers Fund | \$.0000 | |
| Debt Service | \$.5400 | |
| Capital Projects | \$.0000 | |
| Fayette R-III Schools | Total | \$4.1361 |
| Incidental Fund | \$3.4861 | |
| Teachers Fund | \$.0000 | |
| Debt Service | \$.6500 | |
| | | |

| Capital Projects | \$.0000 | |
|--|--|--|
| North Callaway R-I Schools | Total | \$3.6100 |
| Incidental Fund | \$1.9600 | |
| Teachers Fund | \$1.0900 | |
| Debt Service | \$.5600 | |
| Capital Projects | \$.0000 | |
| City of Ashland | | \$.7306 |
| General Revenue | \$.2906 | |
| Debt Service | \$.4400 | |
| City of Centralia | | \$.9579 |
| General Revenue | \$.6616 | |
| Parks & Recreation | \$.2963 | * |
| City of Columbia | | \$.4100 |
| General Revenue | \$.4100 | ф 0.4 <i>с</i> = |
| City of Hallsville | | \$.9467 |
| General Revenue | \$.6567 | |
| Debt Service | \$.2900 | Φ 2212 |
| Town of Harrisburg | General Revenue | \$.3312 |
| Village of Hartsburg | General Revenue | \$.4124 \$.3048 |
| | | |
| City of Rocheport | General Revenue | • |
| City of Sturgeon | General Revenue General Revenue | \$.4900 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District | General Revenue | \$.4900 \$.4900 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District | General Revenue Total | \$.4900 \$.4900 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue | General Revenue Total \$.6000 | \$.4900 \$.4900 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund | General Revenue Total \$.6000 \$.0295 | \$.4900 \$.4900 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond | General Revenue Total \$.6000 \$.0295 \$.2084 | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District | General Revenue Total \$.6000 \$.0295 \$.2084 Total | \$.4900 \$.4900 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District General Revenue | For a state of the | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District General Revenue Dispatch | For a state of the | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District General Revenue Dispatch Debt Service | For a state of the | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 \$.4569 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District General Revenue Dispatch Debt Service Boone County Library District | Total \$.6000 \$.0295 \$.2084 Total \$.2274 \$.0300 \$.1995 | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 \$.4569 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District General Revenue Dispatch Debt Service Boone County Library District Centralia Library District | Total \$.6000 \$.0295 \$.2084 Total \$.2274 \$.0300 \$.1995 | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 \$.4569 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District General Revenue Dispatch Debt Service Boone County Library District Centralia Library District Library | Total \$.6000 \$.0295 \$.2084 Total \$.2274 \$.0300 \$.1995 Total \$.2953 | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 \$.4569 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District General Revenue Dispatch Debt Service Boone County Library District Centralia Library District Library Library Bond | Total \$.6000 \$.0295 \$.2084 Total \$.2274 \$.0300 \$.1995 Total \$.2953 \$.0900 | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 \$.4569 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District General Revenue Dispatch Debt Service Boone County Library District Centralia Library District Library | Total \$.6000 \$.0295 \$.2084 Total \$.2274 \$.0300 \$.1995 Total \$.2953 \$.0900 | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 \$.4569 \$.3200 \$.3853 |
| City of Sturgeon Special Business District Boone County Fire Protection District General Revenue Dispatch Fund Fire Bond Southern Bo. Co. Fire Protect. District General Revenue Dispatch Debt Service Boone County Library District Centralia Library District Library Library Bond Columbia Regional Library | Total \$.6000 \$.0295 \$.2084 Total \$.2274 \$.0300 \$.1995 Total \$.2953 \$.0900 Total | \$.4900 \$.4900 \$.8379 \$.4569 \$.3200 \$.3853 |

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. Order 441-2003

Subject: Purchasing Department

Marlene Ridgway, Purchasing Department Buyer, was present on behalf of these items.

A. Second Reading and Award of Bid 57-21AUG03 (Brushwood Lake Road Box Culvert)

Marlene Ridgway stated this is a recommendation for award of contract to Widel, Inc for submitting the lowest and best bid meeting the minimum specifications. The contract amount is \$ 201,089.30. The budgeted amount for this project was \$202,000.00.

Commissioner Miller moved to award bid 57-21AUG03 for the Brushwood Lake Road Box Culvert Project to Widel, Inc.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. Order 442-2003

B. Second Reading and Approval of Amendment #3 for Bid 01-24JAN01 (Electronic Monitoring Services and Equipment Lease)

Marlene Ridgway stated this is a request to approve amendment #3 for contract 01-24JAN01 for Electronic Monitoring Services and Equipment Lease. The Juvenile Office and Adult Court Services are requesting to add GuardWare® Remote Access Service to the existing contract. There is no additional charge for this service.

Commissioner Elkin moved to approve Amendment #3 to bid 01-24JAN01 for Electronic Monitoring Services and Equipment Lease.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. Order 443-2003

C. Second Reading and Award Bid 60-27AUG03 (Restoration – Erosion Control Term and Supply)

Marlene Ridgway stated this is a recommendation for award of contract to J. C. Landscaping. This is a new term and supply contract.

Commissioner Elkin moved to award bid 60-27AUG03 for Restoration – Erosion Control Term and Supply to J. C. Landscaping.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. Order 444-2003

D. First Reading of City of Columbia Cooperative Bid 76/2003 (Welding Services)

Marlene Ridgway stated the Public Works and Purchasing Department recommend utilizing the City of Columbia Cooperative Agreement 76/2003 for the purchase of welding services with Montgomery Welding and Repair. This contract runs through February 28, 2004 and has four one-year optional renewals.

This is a county-wide term and supply contract.

There was no further discussion on this item.

Commissioner Schnarre stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

Subject: Child Support – Second Reading and Approval of Child Support Enforcement Agreement

Barbara Morris, Child Support Program Administrator, was present on behalf of this item.

Commissioner Elkin stated the State wants this contract back before September 30. The Information Technology Department still has concerns with this contract. He recommends moving forward with this item today and work out other issues at a later date. He believes the IT Director and someone from the State have been working on an amendment to this contract

Commissioner Schnarre stated the portion of concern of this agreement is the County taking over maintenance of the computers.

Barbara Morris stated she believes the IT Director wants it to be very clear as to who is responsible for what software on the computers.

Commissioner Elkin moved to approve the Child Support Enforcement Agreement with the State of Missouri Department of Social Services Division of Child Support Enforcement.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. Order 445-2003

Subject: First Reading of Road and Bridge Advisory Committee Recommendations for Variances

David Mink, Public Works Director, was present on behalf of these items.

A. Old Plank Village - Driveway Placement

Jay Gebhardt, Civil Engineer with A Civil Group, 1010 Fay Street, Columbia, was present on behalf of this request.

David Mink stated Mr. Gebhardt brought forward the request for variances for driveway placements on Lots 1 and 31, which are corner lots, of Old Plank Village. The plan for these lots is to build duplexes, which meant the driveway would be at the center of the building rather than on one end or the other. The driveways were cut in where the center of the building will be and that ended up being closer to the corner than regulations allow.

The Road and Bridge Advisory Committee discussed this request and decided to allow the variance for Lot 1 because the cars would be backing into a short cul-de-sac. The Committee felt there would not be much affect on the traffic. For Lot 31, there was more concern and the variance was denied by the Committee. The Committee approved the following variance:

- To waive the requirement of driveway placement being greater than thirty feet from the point of curvature and allow the driveway for Lot 1, Old Plank Village to be placed at a distance of fifteen feet from the point of curvature.

Jay Gebhardt stated he is present to discuss the variance for Lot 31. The reason he requested this variance is he made a mistake in doing the plans for this development. There is a difference between the requirements by the City and the County. He mistakenly did the driveway cuts at City standards. He is present requesting a variance to allow for 15' variance on the driveways for Lots 1 and 31.

He provided the Commissioners a drawing of the City's standards, the County's standards, and a drawing of the street plans and the two lots. On the final plat, they restricted access for Lots 1 and 31 on Coneflower because they did not feel it was safe to have a drive on Coneflower. Old Plank Village Drive is a two-headed cul-de-sac, it is a not a through street.

Mr. Gebhardt believes a variance for both lots is appropriate. He does not believe it will endanger the safety or welfare of the public. The Road and Bridge Advisory Committee agreed with Mr. Gebhardt that the cars backing out of Lot 1 would be backing out into its own lane. The real issue is with lot 31, where a car would be backing across lanes of traffic. He believes this is a sufficient distance for the driveway that would allow the person backing out of the driveway a clear sight of the road.

Commissioner Miller asked what guarantee there is that there will be no shrubs or anything put on the corner that would block the vision of the driver backing out. Mr. Gebhardt stated they could provide a sight-distance easement to the County. He noted the owner and builder of this lot, Steve Reichlin, and he can give the County his assurance that this will happen.

Commissioner Miller stated that is her biggest concern, having something planted on the corner to block the vision of a driver backing out.

Mr. Mink stated he understands the decision made by the Road and Bridge Advisory Committee. He also sees the special circumstances for this particular situation. The Road and Bridge Advisory Committee made their decision based on keeping the driveway distance to protect the safety of the public.

Commissioner Miller asked how a sight-distance easement would be created. Mr. Gebhardt stated he would prepare a separate document and have the owner sign the document.

Mr. Riechlin stated he is willing to give the sight-distance easement to the County.

Commissioner Schnarre asked if this development was in the City would the driveway placements be within their tolerance. Mr. Gebhardt stated that is correct.

Commissioner Elkin stated it is to his understanding that if this were a single-family lot then the driveway may have not been an issue. Mr. Gebhardt stated it would be easier to move a driveway on a single-family lot but it would still be in violation. It is more difficult to move driveways on a lot that has a duplex.

The Commissioners did not have any objections to allowing the variance for Lots 1 and 31.

There was no further discussion on this request.

Commissioner Schnarre stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval for both lots, including the sight-distance easement for Lot 31.

B. Hoe Down/Square Circle NID Project

John Watkins, Project Development Manager, was present on behalf of this request.

David Mink stated this is for the proposed Hoe Down/Square Circle Neighborhood Improvement District (NID) Project. In order to make this NID viable, the road is currently 24' wide and to widen this road to the required 32' would cause more utilities to be moved and cause shortening of driveways to the point of actually loosing off-street parking. The Road and Bridge Advisory Committee felt that the leaving the road at 24' would be sufficient.

The other variance for this NID to be considered is the pavement thickness. The normal pavement thickness for an asphalt road is 8". They are requesting a variance to allow for 5" of asphalt. The 5" standard is what is used for the pave in place standard. They believe the 5" would be adequate for this road. The Road and Bridge Advisory Committee also agreed with this request.

Commissioner Schnarre asked if the 5" thickness would create a problem with future NIDs. John Watkins stated typically this is the NID standard for established roadways. This road bed has been in place for over 20 years. NIDs are usually done on established roadways.

Mr. Mink stated there was discussion of doing a thin overlay of asphalt but because of the poor condition of the concrete the department decided the 5" would be in the best interest of the County and also to make this a feasible NID.

Commissioner Miller stated the County does not want the cost of the NID to be more than the cost of the property. Mr. Watkins stated that is correct.

There was no further discussion on this request.

Commissioner Schnarre stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

Subject: Authorize Presiding Commissioner to sign Emergency Management Grant Application

Commissioner Miller stated this is a grant through the State Emergency Management Agency for the City/County Office of Emergency Management.

Commissioner Miller moved to authorize the Presiding Commissioner to sign the

Emergency Management Grant Application.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. Order 446-2003

Subject: First Reading of Contract Amendment #9 for the Child Assessment Center Services and Budget Amendment

Commissioner Miller stated this is for the Child Advocacy Grant, which runs from July 1, 2003 to June 30, 2004. The budget amendment is for the July 1, 2003 to December 31, 2003 portion of the grant, in the amount of \$68,593.

There was no further discussion on this item.

Commissioner Schnarre stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting after the required 10 day waiting period with an appropriate order for approval.

Commissioner Reports

There were no Commissioner Reports at this time.

Public Comment

Bruce Florea, 4316 S. Coats Lane, Columbia, stated he would like for the County to put in a new culvert on his property. When he moved into his home he installed a culvert but it is now backed up and will not drain. He will pay for the new culvert if the County puts it in. The culvert is not in the standard easement but drains the roadway.

Also, he would like the ditch filled in to prevent further erosion. This ditch is very steep and close to the road.

There was no further public comment.

The meeting adjourned at 2:08 p.m.

| Attest: | Keith Schnarre |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Presiding Commissioner |
| Wendy S. Noren | Karen M. Miller |
| Clerk of the County Commission | District I Commissioner |
| | Skip Elkin |
| | District II Commissioner |